



 Research Article

The Evolution of Financial Decision Engines: Integrating Algorithmic Credit Risk Management, Cryptocurrency Dynamics, And Propensity Analytics in The Era of Big Data

Journal Website:
<http://sciencebring.com/index.php/ijasr>

Submission Date: December 23, 2025, **Accepted Date:** January 12, 2026,

Published Date: January 31, 2026

Copyright: Original content from this work may be used under the terms of the creative commons attributes 4.0 licence.

Rizky Pratama Santoso

Department of Artificial Intelligence, Institut Teknologi Bandung, Bandung, Indonesia

ABSTRACT

The financial services landscape is currently undergoing a transformative shift driven by the convergence of advanced machine learning techniques, the proliferation of granular consumer data, and the emergence of decentralized digital assets. This research article explores the theoretical and operational evolution of financial decision engines, with a particular focus on the transition from traditional credit risk management to dynamic, propensity-based predictive modeling. We synthesize the foundational methodologies of credit scoring, such as bankruptcy prediction and classification benchmarking, with contemporary developments in opinion mining and the unique volatility characteristics inherent in virtual currency markets. By examining the integration of dynamic capabilities in sustainable enterprise settings and the ethical dilemmas posed by big data analytics, this study identifies a significant gap in the literature regarding the harmonization of legacy risk management frameworks with rapid, data-driven propensity prediction models. We argue that modern decision engines must transcend mere classification accuracy to incorporate adaptive learning cycles capable of mitigating bias while navigating the asymmetric dependencies of global financial turmoil. The paper provides a comprehensive analysis of the methodological requirements for building robust, scalable, and equitable decision engines in an increasingly complex and digitized financial environment.

KEYWORDS

Decision Engines, Credit Risk Management, Predictive Analytics, Cryptocurrency Economics, Big Data Ethics, Propensity Modeling, Machine Learning.

INTRODUCTION

The modern financial infrastructure rests upon the efficacy of its decision-making apparatus—a complex web of algorithms designed to evaluate creditworthiness, predict customer behavior, and assess risk in real-time. Historically, the bedrock of these systems has been the rigorous application of econometric models and statistical methodologies aimed at reducing information asymmetry between lenders and borrowers. As noted by Anderson (2007), the credit scoring toolkit is not merely a set of technical procedures but a fundamental framework for retail credit risk management that necessitates a blend of theoretical depth and operational discipline. The ability to automate these decisions has been a cornerstone of financial stability, allowing institutions to process vast volumes of applications with consistent criteria.

However, the rapid expansion of digital finance and the advent of high-frequency data environments have exposed the limitations of static, legacy models. Traditional approaches, such as those discussed by Baesens et al. (2003) regarding the benchmarking of classification algorithms, were designed for relatively stable market environments characterized by longitudinal customer behavior data. Today, the landscape is dictated by exogenous shocks—such as the volatility induced by the COVID-19 pandemic—and the integration of non-traditional data sources. The work of Borio (2020) reminds

us that contemporary crises are often uniquely challenging, requiring models that are not only accurate but resilient to sudden systemic shifts.

Furthermore, the rise of cryptocurrency markets has necessitated a re-evaluation of valuation models and risk assessments. Unlike conventional assets, virtual currencies exhibit unique economic properties that defy classical investment paradigms. Böhme et al. (2015) and Bolt and Van Oordt (2020) have illuminated the complexities of governance and economic value within these digital ecosystems. As financial decision engines become increasingly tasked with integrating these assets, the challenge shifts from simple regression analysis to the management of complex, multifaceted risk vectors. This article aims to bridge the gap between traditional risk management practices and modern propensity-based decision engines, specifically examining how emerging techniques in artificial intelligence can be leveraged to synthesize disparate data streams—ranging from consumer behavioral features to sentiment-based opinion mining—into a coherent, sustainable financial strategy.

METHODOLOGY

To address the complexities of modern decision engine development, we adopt a meta-analytical framework that synthesizes quantitative research findings and qualitative theoretical

advancements. Our methodological approach is structured around three primary pillars: comparative algorithmic assessment, longitudinal observation of financial phenomena, and the ethical synthesis of data-driven decision-making.

First, we analyze the performance of classification algorithms through the lens of bankruptcy prediction, a field dominated by neural network applications. As Atiya (2001) suggests, the evolution of neural architectures has fundamentally altered the baseline for bankruptcy prediction accuracy. We extend this by reviewing the transition from standard supervised learning approaches to Markov Chain Monte Carlo methods, as introduced by Andrieu et al. (2003). By utilizing these stochastic simulation techniques, financial researchers can better account for uncertainty in parameter estimation, which is critical when data is imbalanced or noisy.

Second, we evaluate the preprocessing techniques essential for mitigating the impact of imbalanced data. As identified by Felix and Lee (2019), the systemic literature review of preprocessing is vital, as real-world financial datasets are frequently skewed. Our methodology examines how resampling techniques and feature scaling act as foundational prerequisites for the successful implementation of propensity models. This is further supported by the work of Krishnan et al. (2025), who emphasize that the performance of modern decision engines relies heavily on the quality and engineering of customer data features.

Third, we incorporate sentiment and opinion mining as a data feature to capture market dynamics. Following the methodology proposed by Cerda et al. (2019), we consider how unstructured data-specifically public opinion regarding digital assets-can be quantified to improve the predictive power of decision engines. This methodology treats news-driven market dependencies, such as those analyzed by Cepoi (2020), as dynamic input variables rather than external noise.

Finally, we apply the concept of dynamic capabilities as defined by Elf et al. (2022). In our research framework, we treat the financial institution not as a static repository of data, but as a dynamic entity that must continuously evolve its technological capabilities to maintain relevance. This involves an iterative, feedback-loop approach where propensity prediction outputs are continuously audited for bias, ensuring that the integration of big data, as warned by Favaretto et al. (2019), does not result in systemic discrimination.

RESULTS

The descriptive analysis of current financial decision engines reveals a distinct bifurcation in capability. On one hand, institutions leveraging legacy credit scoring models maintain high levels of interpretability but suffer from significant lags in responsiveness. On the other, newer propensity engines, which utilize deep learning and high-dimensional features, demonstrate superior predictive accuracy but introduce



significant "black box" risks that complicate regulatory compliance.

Our synthesis of the evidence suggests that the inclusion of cryptocurrency price trends and sentiment indicators significantly improves the granularity of customer risk profiles. When decision engines are tuned to recognize the asymmetric dependence between traditional equity returns and the volatility of virtual currencies, they exhibit a greater degree of robustness during periods of financial stress. Furthermore, the findings of Carhart (1997) regarding the persistence of mutual fund performance remain relevant; we observe that modern propensity engines, when properly calibrated, can identify similar patterns of performance persistence in consumer credit behaviors, allowing for more precise segmentation of high-risk versus low-risk cohorts.

A critical finding of our analysis is the importance of "feature relevance" over "feature volume." While the accumulation of big data is often touted as a panacea, the actual predictive gains are concentrated in the engineering of features that reflect real-world economic incentives. Specifically, models that incorporate behavioral engagement metrics—as advocated in the sustainable enterprise research of Elf et al. (2022)—consistently outperform models based solely on traditional transaction history.

Moreover, the benchmarking of classification algorithms, while historically focused on error reduction, now must account for "discrimination-

aware" metrics. The empirical evidence indicates that even the most accurate propensity models, if trained on historical data containing systemic biases, will replicate those biases in future credit allocations. The results of our synthesis confirm that the efficacy of a decision engine is not merely a function of its mathematical complexity, but its alignment with sustainable and ethical business objectives.

DISCUSSION

The implications of our findings are profound for both the theory and practice of financial services. The transition from traditional credit risk management to propensity-based prediction is not just a technological upgrade; it is a fundamental shift in the relationship between financial institutions and their customers.

The core challenge lies in the reconciliation of accuracy and explainability. As we move away from simpler linear models toward more complex, multi-layered architectures, the "why" behind a decision becomes increasingly opaque. This aligns with the concerns raised by Favaretto et al. (2019) regarding big data and discrimination. If a decision engine determines a customer is a poor candidate for credit based on a hidden correlation with a protected demographic, the resulting harm is both practical and ethical. Therefore, the future of the field must prioritize the development of "interpretable AI"-systems that can provide post-hoc justifications for their predictions, similar to the logic used by

credit researchers to explain target price accuracy in equity reports (Bonini et al., 2010).

We must also discuss the role of volatility. The COVID-19 pandemic served as a massive stress test for existing algorithms, many of which failed because they were trained on "business as usual" data. The work of Borio (2020) highlights that such crises are "dangerously unique." To build a resilient decision engine, we must incorporate "stress scenarios" that are not merely historical repetitions but simulated futures that include black-swan events, liquidity traps, and market-wide sentiment shifts.

The limitations of our study are primarily found in the reliance on published literature, which often suffers from a publication bias towards "successful" models. Negative results in the implementation of decision engines are rarely published, which may create an overly optimistic view of the ease of integrating these technologies. Furthermore, the rapid pace of change in the cryptocurrency and fintech space means that models discussed as "state-of-the-art" today will likely require significant refinement within months.

Future research should focus on the development of "federated learning" approaches in finance, where decision engines can learn from distributed data sources without violating customer privacy or sovereignty. Additionally, there is a dire need for cross-disciplinary studies that combine legal theory, computer science, and behavioral economics to create "regulatory-by-

design" frameworks for the next generation of financial decision engines.

CONCLUSION

The evolution of financial decision engines represents the vanguard of modern economic management. By integrating the rigorous principles of traditional credit risk management with the agile, data-intensive methods of machine learning and sentiment analysis, institutions can achieve a more nuanced understanding of risk and propensity. However, this evolution must be guided by a firm commitment to transparency, ethical accountability, and a recognition that no algorithm is exempt from the volatility of human behavior and global economic shifts.

As we have explored, the convergence of these diverse fields-ranging from cryptocurrency governance to bankruptcy prediction-provides a fertile ground for innovation. The goal is to move beyond the constraints of legacy frameworks toward a holistic, adaptive system that does not merely process data, but learns from it to foster sustainable financial inclusion and stability. The future of financial decision-making lies in our ability to balance the raw power of big data with the wisdom of foundational economic principles, ensuring that the engines we build are not only intelligent but equitable and enduring.

REFERENCES

1. Anderson, R. The credit scoring toolkit: Theory and practice for retail credit risk

- management and decision automation. Oxford University Press.
2. Andrieu, C., De Freitas, N., Doucet, A., and Jordan, M. I. An introduction to mcmc for machine learning. *Machine Learning*, 50, 5–43.
 3. Atiya, A. F. Bankruptcy prediction for credit risk using neural networks: A survey and new results. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks*, 12, 929–935.
 4. Baesens, B., Van Gestel, T., Viaene, S., Stepanova, M., Vanthienen, J., and Suykens, J. Benchmarking state-of-the-art classification algorithms for credit scoring. *Journal of the Operational Research Society*, 54, 627–635.
 5. Bodie, Z., Kane, A., and Marcus, A. J. *Investments*. McGraw Hill Education.
 6. Böhme, R., Christin, N., Edelman, B., and Moore, T. Bitcoin: Economics, technology, and governance. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 29(2), 213–238.
 7. Bollerslev, T. Generalized autoregressive conditional heteroskedasticity. *Journal of Econometrics*, 31(3), 307–327.
 8. Bolt, W. and Van Oordt, M. R. C. On the value of virtual currencies. *Journal of Money, Credit and Banking*, 52(4), 835–862.
 9. Bonini, S., Zanetti, L., Bianchini, R., and Salvi, A. Target price accuracy in equity research. *Journal of Business Finance & Accounting*, 37(9-10), 1177–1217.
 10. Borio, C. The Covid-19 economic crisis: Dangerously unique. *Business Economics*, 55(4), 181–190.
 11. Carhart, M. M. On persistence in mutual fund performance. *The Journal of Finance*, 52(1), 57–82.
 12. Cepoi, C.-o. Asymmetric dependence between stock market returns and news during COVID-19 financial turmoil. *Finance Research Letters*, 36.
 13. Cerda, G. C., Reutter, J., and Maza, D. L. Bitcoin Price Prediction Through Opinion Mining. In *Proceedings of 2019 World Wide Web Conference*, 755–762.
 14. Elf, P., Werner, A. and Black, S. Advancing the circular economy through dynamic capabilities and extended customer engagement: Insights from small sustainable fashion enterprises in the UK. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 31(6), 2682–2699.
 15. Favaretto, M., De Clercq, E. and Elger, B.S. Big Data and discrimination: perils, promises and solutions. A systematic review. *Journal of Big Data*, 6(1), 1–27.
 16. Felix, E.A. and Lee, S.P. Systematic literature review of preprocessing techniques for imbalanced data. *Iet Software*, 13(6), 479–496.
 17. Krishnan, G., Bhat, A. K., & Shah, J. Decision engine: Propensity prediction in the financial industry based on customer data features. In *Artificial Intelligence and Sustainable Innovation*, 107–112. CRC Press.