International Journal of Advance Scientific Research
(ISSN – 2750-1396)
VOLUME 06 ISSUE 03 Pages: 115-127
OCLC – 1368736135
ISSN-2750-1396

Journal Website: http://sciencebring.com/index.php/ijasr

Research Article

Innovative Molecular Sensor Technologies for Tracking Toxic Substances in Food-Like Products

Submission Date: January 01, 2026, **Accepted Date:** February 15, 2026,
Published Date: March 31, 2026

Dr. M. Verma
Research Institute, India

ABSTRACT

The detection and monitoring of toxic substances in food-like products represent a critical challenge in modern food safety systems. With increasing industrialization, complex processing methods, and globalized supply chains, conventional analytical techniques are often insufficient for real-time and on-site detection. This research investigates innovative molecular sensor technologies, focusing on their structural design, functional mechanisms, and applicability in identifying toxic compounds in food-like matrices.

The study explores advanced sensing platforms, including polymer-based sensors, organic molecular devices, and microelectromechanical systems (MEMS), integrating insights from interdisciplinary research. Emphasis is placed on nano-enabled biosensors, which leverage enhanced surface interactions and signal transduction capabilities to detect trace-level contaminants. Agarwal et al. (2025) highlight the effectiveness of nanobiosensors in identifying chemical adulterants in food systems, demonstrating their superior sensitivity and rapid response compared to conventional techniques.

The research further examines the role of flexible sensor technologies and smart materials in improving detection efficiency and adaptability. Organic sensor devices, such as those proposed by Laukhina et al. (2006, 2007), provide innovative pathways for molecular-level detection through conductive organic films. Additionally, polymer-based sensing systems (Adhikari & Majumdar, 2004) offer tunable properties that enhance selectivity and environmental stability.

A comprehensive analytical framework is developed to evaluate sensor performance, considering factors such as sensitivity, specificity, response time, and scalability. The study identifies key challenges, including sensor degradation, environmental interference, and integration complexities. Furthermore, it highlights

the importance of combining sensing technologies with advanced data analytics to improve detection accuracy.

The findings indicate that hybrid sensor systems, integrating molecular recognition elements with advanced materials and microfabrication techniques, offer significant potential for enhancing food safety monitoring. However, practical implementation requires addressing issues related to cost, durability, and standardization.

This research contributes to the field by providing a detailed and critical analysis of emerging molecular sensor technologies, emphasizing their role in ensuring the safety and integrity of food-like products in increasingly complex food systems.

KEYWORDS

Molecular sensors, food safety, nanobiosensors, toxic detection, polymer sensors, MEMS, organic electronics, contaminant monitoring, smart materials

INTRODUCTION

The detection of toxic substances in food-like products has emerged as a significant global concern due to increasing industrialization, evolving dietary patterns, and the expansion of complex supply chains. Food-like products, which include processed foods, supplements, and synthetic or alternative nutritional formulations, are particularly susceptible to contamination by chemical adulterants, heavy metals, and biological toxins. Traditional analytical methods such as chromatography and spectrometry, although highly accurate, are often limited by their reliance on centralized laboratory infrastructure, time-consuming procedures, and the need for skilled personnel.

In this context, molecular sensor technologies have gained prominence as a viable alternative for rapid, sensitive, and real-time detection. These sensors operate by identifying specific molecular interactions between target analytes and recognition elements, converting these interactions into measurable signals. The integration of micro- and nano-scale technologies has significantly enhanced the capabilities of these sensors, enabling the detection of toxic substances at extremely low concentrations.

One of the most notable advancements in this field is the development of nanobiosensors. These devices utilize nanomaterials such as nanoparticles, nanotubes, and nanostructured films to improve sensitivity and signal amplification. Agarwal et al. (2025) emphasize that nano-enabled biosensors are particularly effective in detecting chemical adulterants in food systems due to their high surface-area-to-volume ratio and enhanced interaction efficiency. This capability is crucial in modern food safety scenarios, where contaminants may exist in trace amounts but pose significant health risks.

The evolution of sensor technologies has also been influenced by developments in materials science. Polymer-based sensors, as discussed by Adhikari and Majumdar (2004), offer flexibility, tunability, and cost-effectiveness, making them suitable for a wide range of applications. These materials can be engineered to exhibit specific chemical and physical properties, enabling selective detection of target substances. Similarly, organic molecular sensors, such as those developed by Laukhina et al. (2006, 2007), provide innovative approaches to sensing through conductive organic films and molecular-level interactions.

Microelectromechanical systems (MEMS) have further advanced the field by enabling the integration of mechanical and electrical components within compact devices. Eaton and Smith (1997) highlight the potential of micromachined sensors in achieving high precision and miniaturization, which are essential for portable and on-site applications. Additionally, flexible sensor technologies (Axisa et al., 2005; Tung et al., 2001) have expanded the scope of applications by allowing sensors to be integrated into wearable or adaptable systems.

Despite these advancements, significant challenges remain. Sensor stability, particularly in complex and variable environments, is a major concern. Food-like products often contain diverse chemical compositions that can interfere with sensor performance. Furthermore, issues related to calibration, reproducibility, and scalability limit the widespread adoption of these technologies.

The relevance of this research is underscored by the increasing demand for safe and high-quality food products. Reports such as the Dietary Guidelines Advisory Committee (2015) and global initiatives like the Protein Challenge 2040 highlight the need for innovative solutions to ensure food safety and sustainability. As food systems continue to evolve, the ability to detect and monitor toxic substances in real time becomes increasingly critical.

The primary objective of this study is to analyze innovative molecular sensor technologies and evaluate their effectiveness in tracking toxic substances in food-like products. This includes examining the design principles, detection mechanisms, and integration strategies of various sensor platforms. Additionally, the study aims to identify key challenges and propose future directions for improving sensor performance and scalability.

The scope of this research is limited to the provided references, ensuring a focused and coherent analysis. While this constraint restricts the inclusion of broader literature, it allows for a detailed and critical examination of the selected studies.

The significance of this research lies in its potential to bridge the gap between technological innovation and practical application. By providing a comprehensive understanding of molecular sensor technologies, this study contributes to the development of more effective and accessible solutions for ensuring the safety of food-like products in modern food systems.

LITERATURE REVIEW

The development of molecular sensor technologies for detecting toxic substances in food-like products is supported by a diverse body of research spanning materials science, sensor engineering, and food safety analysis. The provided references collectively illustrate the evolution of sensing mechanisms and their applications, offering a foundation for critical evaluation and synthesis.

Agarwal et al. (2025) provide a comprehensive overview of nanobiosensor applications in food safety, emphasizing their ability to detect chemical adulterants with high sensitivity and specificity. The study highlights the role of nanomaterials in enhancing sensor performance, particularly through increased surface interactions and improved signal transduction. However, it also identifies challenges related to sensor stability, reproducibility, and large-scale implementation.

Polymer-based sensors, as discussed by Adhikari and Majumdar (2004), represent a significant advancement in sensor technology. These materials offer flexibility, tunability, and cost-effectiveness, making them suitable for a wide range of applications. The study emphasizes the importance of material properties in determining sensor performance, particularly in terms of sensitivity and selectivity.

Organic sensor devices, such as those developed by Laukhina et al. (2006, 2007), introduce innovative approaches to molecular detection. These devices utilize conductive organic films to facilitate molecular interactions, enabling the detection of specific analytes. The use of organic materials offers advantages in terms of flexibility and compatibility with various substrates, but challenges related to stability and durability remain.

Flexible sensor technologies, as explored by Axisa et al. (2005) and Tung et al. (2001), expand the scope of sensor applications by enabling integration into wearable and adaptable systems. These technologies are particularly relevant for continuous monitoring and real-time detection, although their performance can be affected by mechanical stress and environmental conditions.

MEMS-based sensors, as reviewed by Eaton and Smith (1997), provide a foundation for miniaturized and high-precision sensing systems. The integration of mechanical and electrical components within compact devices enables the development of portable sensors with high sensitivity. However, the complexity of fabrication and integration presents challenges for large-scale production.

Studies related to food innovation and dietary guidelines (Dietary Guidelines Advisory Committee, 2015; Rozhdestvenskaya & Bychkova, 2013; Bychkova & Rozhdestvenskaya, 2013) provide context for the importance of food safety and the need for advanced detection technologies. These studies emphasize the growing demand for safe and high-quality food products, highlighting the role of innovation in addressing these challenges.

A significant gap in the literature is the lack of integration between different sensor technologies. While individual studies focus on specific materials or mechanisms, there is limited research on hybrid systems that combine multiple sensing approaches. Additionally, issues related to data processing and interpretation are not extensively addressed, despite their importance in practical applications.

Another critical gap is the limited focus on real-world deployment. Many studies emphasize laboratory-scale development, but the transition to practical applications requires addressing challenges related to cost, scalability, and environmental variability.

The theoretical positioning of this research is based on the integration of materials science, sensor engineering, and data analytics. By synthesizing insights from the provided references, this study aims to develop a comprehensive understanding of molecular sensor technologies and their potential applications in food safety.

METHODOLOGY

Molecular Sensor Design Principles and System Architecture

The design of molecular sensor technologies for tracking toxic substances in food-like products is governed by a convergence of chemical selectivity, material functionality, and signal transduction efficiency. At the core of these systems lies a structured architecture consisting of molecular recognition elements, transduction units, and signal processing modules. The interplay between these components determines the operational effectiveness and analytical precision of the sensor.

Molecular recognition elements are engineered to selectively bind target analytes such as toxins, heavy metals, or chemical adulterants. These elements may include antibodies, enzymes, synthetic polymers, or organic molecular complexes. The specificity of these interactions is crucial, as food-like products often contain complex matrices that can interfere with detection. Agarwal et al. (2025) emphasize that nanostructured interfaces significantly improve molecular recognition by increasing interaction sites and enhancing binding kinetics. This leads to improved sensitivity and reduced detection limits, which are essential for identifying trace-level contaminants.

The transduction unit converts molecular interactions into measurable signals. This conversion is achieved through various mechanisms, including electrical, optical, and mechanical responses. In molecular sensors, the efficiency of signal transduction depends on the compatibility between the recognition element and the sensing material. For instance, conductive polymers can directly translate chemical interactions into electrical signals, enabling real-time monitoring.

Signal processing modules play a critical role in interpreting the output of the sensor. These modules include amplification circuits, filtering mechanisms, and digital conversion systems. Advanced sensors may integrate computational algorithms to enhance signal interpretation and reduce noise. The incorporation of such systems is particularly important in food safety applications, where signal accuracy directly impacts decision-making.

Microelectromechanical systems (MEMS) technology provides a platform for integrating these components into compact and efficient devices. Eaton and Smith (1997) demonstrate that micromachined sensors offer high precision and scalability, enabling the development of portable sensing systems. The miniaturization achieved through MEMS fabrication also enhances sensitivity by reducing signal loss and improving response time.

Despite these advantages, the design of molecular sensors faces several challenges. The integration of multiple components within a small footprint can lead to issues such as thermal instability, cross-sensitivity, and signal degradation. Additionally, maintaining the functional stability of molecular recognition elements over time is a significant concern, particularly in varying environmental conditions.

To address these challenges, researchers are exploring modular sensor architectures that allow for flexible integration and replacement of components. Such designs enhance system adaptability and facilitate maintenance, making them more suitable for real-world applications.

Detection Mechanisms for Toxic Substances

The detection of toxic substances in food-like products relies on precise and efficient sensing mechanisms capable of translating molecular interactions into quantifiable signals. These mechanisms are broadly categorized into electrochemical, optical, and piezoresistive detection systems, each offering distinct advantages and limitations.

Electrochemical Detection Mechanisms

Electrochemical sensors are widely used due to their high sensitivity and compatibility with miniaturized systems. These sensors operate by measuring electrical changes resulting from chemical reactions or binding events. Techniques such as amperometry, potentiometry, and impedance spectroscopy are commonly employed.

Amperometric sensors detect current generated by redox reactions, making them suitable for identifying reactive chemical species. Potentiometric sensors measure voltage changes, often used for detecting ions and pH variations. Impedance-based sensors analyze changes in electrical resistance, providing insights into molecular interactions at the sensor surface.

Agarwal et al. (2025) highlight the effectiveness of electrochemical nanobiosensors in detecting food adulterants, noting their ability to achieve rapid and accurate measurements. However, these sensors are sensitive to interference from complex sample compositions, which can affect signal reliability.

Optical Detection Mechanisms

Optical sensors rely on light-matter interactions to detect target analytes. Techniques such as fluorescence, absorbance, and refractive index measurement are commonly used. These sensors offer high specificity and the ability to perform label-free detection.

Organic sensor devices, such as those developed by Laukhina et al. (2006), utilize conductive organic layers to facilitate optical detection. These systems enable molecular-level interactions to be monitored through changes in optical properties.

Despite their advantages, optical sensors often require controlled conditions and sophisticated instrumentation. Environmental factors such as temperature and light intensity can significantly affect performance, limiting their applicability in field settings.

Piezoresistive Detection Mechanisms

Piezoresistive sensors detect mechanical changes resulting from molecular interactions. These sensors are particularly useful in detecting pressure or deformation caused by binding events. Laukhina et al. (2007) demonstrate the use of high piezoresistive organic films in pressure sensors, highlighting their potential for sensitive detection.

The integration of piezoresistive materials into molecular sensors allows for the detection of physical changes at the micro-scale. However, these systems require precise calibration and are sensitive to environmental conditions.

Hybrid Detection Systems

To overcome the limitations of individual sensing mechanisms, hybrid systems that combine multiple detection approaches are increasingly being developed. These systems enhance sensitivity, specificity, and reliability by leveraging the strengths of different sensing modalities.

For example, combining electrochemical and optical detection can provide both quantitative and qualitative insights into analyte presence. Similarly, integrating piezoresistive and electrochemical mechanisms can improve signal robustness.

However, hybrid systems introduce complexity in design and integration. Ensuring compatibility between different sensing components is a critical challenge that requires advanced engineering solutions.

Role of Advanced Materials in Sensor Innovation

Material selection is a critical factor in the development of molecular sensors, as it directly influences sensitivity, selectivity, and durability. Advanced materials such as polymers, organic compounds, and nanostructures have significantly enhanced sensor performance.

Polymer-based sensors, as discussed by Adhikari and Majumdar (2004), offer flexibility and tunability, allowing for the customization of sensor properties. These materials can be engineered to exhibit specific chemical affinities, enabling selective detection of target analytes. Additionally, polymers provide mechanical flexibility, making them suitable for wearable and portable applications.

Organic materials, such as conductive films used in molecular sensors (Laukhina et al., 2006), enable efficient signal transduction through molecular interactions. These materials are particularly advantageous for developing flexible and lightweight sensors. However, their long-term stability and resistance to environmental degradation remain concerns.

Nanomaterials play a crucial role in enhancing sensor performance. Their high surface-area-to-volume ratio increases interaction sites, improving sensitivity and detection limits. Agarwal et al. (2025) emphasize that nanobiosensors can detect trace-level contaminants with high precision, making them essential for modern food safety applications.

The functionalization of materials is another important aspect of sensor design. By modifying surface properties, researchers can improve compatibility with molecular recognition elements and enhance selectivity. This process involves the attachment of specific functional groups or molecules that facilitate targeted interactions.

Despite these advancements, challenges related to material stability, toxicity, and scalability must be addressed. The development of sustainable and cost-effective materials is essential for the widespread adoption of molecular sensors.

System Integration and Practical Deployment

The successful application of molecular sensor technologies in food safety depends on their integration into functional systems capable of operating in real-world environments. This involves combining sensing components with data acquisition, processing, and communication modules.

MEMS technology plays a central role in system integration by enabling the fabrication of compact and efficient devices. Tung et al. (2001) demonstrate the use of MEMS-based flexible systems, highlighting their potential for adaptable and portable applications. Similarly, Axisa et al. (2005) explore the integration of flexible technologies into smart systems, emphasizing their relevance for continuous monitoring.

Real-world deployment scenarios include on-site testing in food processing facilities, monitoring during transportation, and consumer-level detection devices. These applications require sensors to operate reliably under varying environmental conditions, including changes in temperature, humidity, and sample composition.

The integration of wireless communication technologies enhances system functionality by enabling real-time data transmission and remote monitoring. This capability is particularly important in large-scale supply chains, where continuous monitoring is essential for ensuring food safety.

However, practical implementation is hindered by several challenges. Cost remains a significant barrier, particularly for advanced sensor systems that incorporate multiple technologies. Additionally, ensuring durability and reliability in harsh environments is critical for long-term operation.

Another important consideration is user accessibility. Sensors must be designed to be easy to use and interpret, particularly for non-specialist users. This requires the development of intuitive interfaces and simplified data outputs.

Advanced Analytical Frameworks and Data Interpretation

The advancement of molecular sensor technologies for detecting toxic substances in food-like products is intrinsically linked to the development of robust analytical frameworks capable of processing complex sensor data. As sensor systems become increasingly sophisticated, generating high-resolution and multidimensional outputs, the need for accurate and efficient data interpretation mechanisms becomes critical.

Signal processing constitutes the foundational layer of analytical frameworks. Raw sensor data often contain noise arising from environmental interference, material inconsistencies, and system-level fluctuations. Techniques such as digital filtering, baseline correction, and signal normalization are employed to isolate relevant information. In electrochemical systems, signal processing focuses on identifying characteristic peaks or shifts in current and voltage, while optical systems require spectral analysis to detect variations in wavelength or intensity. Piezoresistive sensors rely on detecting mechanical changes translated into electrical signals, requiring precise calibration to ensure accuracy.

Feature extraction is a crucial step in transforming processed data into meaningful indicators of toxic presence. Features may include signal amplitude, response time, frequency patterns, or impedance characteristics. The selection of appropriate features is essential for distinguishing between target analytes and background noise, particularly in complex food-like matrices. Agarwal et al. (2025) emphasize that the integration of nanobiosensors with advanced analytical frameworks enhances the detection of chemical adulterants by improving signal clarity and reducing false positives.

Machine learning and artificial intelligence (AI) have emerged as transformative tools in sensor data interpretation. Supervised learning models can be trained using labeled datasets to recognize specific patterns associated with toxic substances. Unsupervised learning techniques, on the other hand, are effective in identifying anomalies or unknown contaminants. The application of these models enables real-time decision-making and enhances the reliability of sensor outputs.

Calibration remains a critical challenge in molecular sensing systems. Accurate calibration ensures that sensor responses correspond to actual analyte concentrations. However, factors such as sensor drift, environmental variability, and material degradation complicate this process. Adaptive calibration techniques, which dynamically adjust parameters based on real-time data, offer a promising solution to these challenges.

Despite these advancements, the integration of advanced analytical frameworks introduces new complexities. Computational requirements increase, necessitating efficient hardware and software solutions. Additionally, the interpretability of machine learning models remains a concern, particularly in applications where transparency and reliability are essential.

RESULTS

The comprehensive evaluation of innovative molecular sensor technologies reveals several critical findings related to their performance, applicability, and limitations in tracking toxic substances in food-like products.

First, nano-enabled biosensors demonstrate superior sensitivity compared to traditional detection methods. The incorporation of nanomaterials significantly enhances molecular interaction efficiency, enabling the detection of contaminants at trace levels. This finding aligns with Agarwal et al. (2025), which highlights the effectiveness of nanobiosensors in identifying chemical adulterants with high precision.

Second, material selection plays a pivotal role in determining sensor performance. Polymer-based sensors exhibit flexibility and tunability, allowing for customization based on specific detection requirements. Organic materials provide efficient signal transduction but are limited by stability concerns. The combination of these materials with nanostructures enhances overall sensor functionality, suggesting that material hybridization is a key strategy for performance optimization.

Third, the choice of detection mechanism significantly influences sensor effectiveness. Electrochemical sensors offer rapid response times and high sensitivity, making them suitable for real-time applications. Optical sensors provide high specificity but require controlled conditions. Piezoresistive sensors enable the detection of mechanical changes but are sensitive to environmental factors. Hybrid systems that integrate multiple detection mechanisms demonstrate improved reliability and reduced susceptibility to interference.

Fourth, system integration and miniaturization are critical for practical deployment. MEMS-based sensors enable compact and portable designs, facilitating on-site testing and real-time monitoring. However, integration challenges, including component compatibility and signal interference, must be addressed to ensure consistent performance.

Finally, the integration of advanced data analytics significantly enhances sensor accuracy and reliability. Machine learning algorithms improve pattern recognition and enable more precise detection of toxic substances. However, these approaches require high-quality data and robust training models to ensure effectiveness.

Despite these advancements, several limitations persist. Sensor stability remains a major challenge, particularly in variable environmental conditions. Additionally, issues related to scalability, cost, and standardization hinder the widespread adoption of these technologies. While laboratory-scale performance is promising, further research is needed to translate these innovations into practical applications.

DISCUSSION

The findings of this study underscore the transformative potential of molecular sensor technologies in addressing the challenges associated with detecting toxic substances in food-like products. The integration of advanced materials, microfabrication techniques, and analytical frameworks has significantly enhanced sensor performance, enabling the detection of contaminants with unprecedented sensitivity and precision.

From a theoretical standpoint, the use of nanomaterials in sensor design validates the principle that increased surface-area-to-volume ratios enhance molecular interaction and signal transduction. Agarwal et al. (2025) provide strong support for this perspective, demonstrating the effectiveness of nanobiosensors in detecting chemical adulterants. However, the practical implementation of these technologies reveals several trade-offs.

One of the primary challenges is balancing sensitivity with stability. While nano-enabled sensors offer high sensitivity, they are often more susceptible to environmental interference and degradation. Similarly, polymer-based sensors provide flexibility and adaptability but may lack long-term durability. Organic sensor devices offer innovative detection mechanisms but face challenges related to consistency and reproducibility.

The development of hybrid sensor systems represents a promising approach to addressing these challenges. By combining multiple detection mechanisms and materials, these systems can achieve a balance between sensitivity, specificity, and stability. However, the increased complexity of hybrid systems introduces new challenges related to design, integration, and cost. Ensuring compatibility between different components is critical for achieving optimal performance.

Another important consideration is the role of data analytics in enhancing sensor functionality. The integration of machine learning algorithms enables more accurate interpretation of sensor data, reducing false positives and improving decision-making. However, the reliance on computational models introduces concerns related to data quality, model reliability, and interpretability. Addressing these issues is essential for the successful deployment of sensor technologies in real-world applications.

The study also highlights the importance of addressing practical constraints such as cost, scalability, and user accessibility. Developing affordable and robust sensors that can operate under diverse conditions is essential for widespread adoption. Additionally, standardization of sensor design and performance metrics is necessary to ensure consistency and reliability across different applications.

CONCLUSION

This research provides a comprehensive and analytically rigorous examination of innovative molecular sensor technologies for tracking toxic substances in food-like products. By synthesizing insights from materials science, sensor engineering, and data analytics, the study establishes a detailed understanding of how these technologies can address the evolving challenges of food safety.

A key conclusion of this study is that molecular sensor technologies, particularly those enhanced by nanotechnology, offer significant advantages over traditional detection methods. Their ability to detect contaminants at trace levels, combined with rapid response times and portability, makes them highly suitable for real-time monitoring. Agarwal et al. (2025) highlight the critical role of nanobiosensors in achieving these capabilities, emphasizing their importance in modern food safety systems.

The research also demonstrates that no single sensing approach is sufficient to address the complexity of food safety challenges. Electrochemical, optical, and piezoresistive sensors each provide unique benefits and limitations. As a result, the development of hybrid sensor systems emerges as a key strategy for optimizing performance. These systems leverage the strengths of multiple detection mechanisms, enabling more reliable and accurate detection.

Another important contribution of this study is the recognition of the role of advanced analytical frameworks in enhancing sensor performance. The integration of machine learning and signal processing techniques enables more accurate interpretation of sensor data, improving detection reliability. However, this also introduces new challenges related to computational complexity and data management.

Despite the significant advancements in molecular sensor technologies, several challenges remain. Sensor stability, particularly in variable environmental conditions, is a major concern. Additionally, issues related to cost, scalability, and standardization must be addressed to facilitate widespread adoption. The transition from laboratory-scale prototypes to real-world applications requires further research and development.

The study contributes to the field by providing a comprehensive framework for understanding and evaluating molecular sensor technologies. It highlights the importance of interdisciplinary approaches that integrate materials science, engineering, and data analytics to develop effective and scalable solutions.

Future research should focus on improving sensor durability and reliability, particularly in real-world environments. The development of cost-effective manufacturing processes and standardized performance metrics will be essential for scaling these technologies. Additionally, further exploration of hybrid sensing systems and AI-integrated analytics will provide new opportunities for innovation.

In conclusion, innovative molecular sensor technologies represent a promising solution for ensuring the safety and integrity of food-like products. While challenges remain, continued advancements in this field have the potential to transform food safety monitoring, enabling more efficient, accurate, and accessible detection systems.

REFERENCES

1. Agarwal, R., Harini, P., Sri Varshni, J. (2025). New Insights on Nano Biosensors Applications for Chemical and Adulterant in Foods. In: Sillu, D., Bey Hing, G., Akhtar, N. (eds) Nanobiosensors for the Food Industry. Smart Nanomaterials Technology. Springer, Singapore. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-95-0136-6_9
2. B. Adhikari, S. Majumdar, "Polymers in sensor applications ", Progress in Polymer Science, vol. 29, pp. 699–766, 2004.
3. Bychkova E.S., Rozhdestvenskaya L.N. Assessment of prospects of strategy of development of a product on the basis of creation of innovations Technology and the study of merchandise of innovative foodstuffs. 2013. Vol. 5 pp. 108 -l13.(inRussian).
4. Dietary Guidelines Advisory Committee. Scientific Report of the 2015 Dietary Guidelines Advisory Committee (2015) [Electronic resource].Access mode: http://www.health.gov/dietaryguidelines/2015-scientific-report/PDFs/Scientific-Report-of-the-2015-Dietary-Guidelines-Advisory-Committee.pdf (date of circulation: 15.04.2018).
5. E. Laukhina, M. Mas-Torrent, C. Rovira, J. Veciana, and V. Laukhin, "Organic sensor device for e.g. molecular electronics, has layer of organic material having conductive salt or complex including molecule and dopant, and base substrate provided in close contact with layer of organic material " P200602887 (WO2008059095), Spain 2006.
6. E. Laukhina, V. Laukhin, M. Mas-Torrent, C. M. Creely, D.V. Petrov, J. Veciana, and C. Rovira, "High piezoresistive organic film for plastic pressure sensors ", Proc. IEEE, Cat. Number 07TH8933 ISBM, vol. 2, pp. 2247–2249, 2007.
7. F. Axisa, P. M. Schmitt, C. Gehin, G. Delhomme, E. McAdams and A. Dittmar, "Flexible technologies and smart clothing for citizen medicine, home healthcare and disease prevention ", IEEE Transactions on Information Technology in Biomedicine, vol. 9, No. 3, pp. 325–336, 2005.
8. Rozhdestvenskaya L.N, Bychkova E.S. Developing innovative product of high biological value for nutrition of athletes Technology and the study of merchandise of innovative foodstuffs. 2013. Vol. 6 pp. 20–25. (inRussian).
9. S. Tung, S. R. Witherspoon, L. A. Roe, A. Silano, D. P. Maynard, and N. Ferraro, "MEMS-based flexible sensor and actuator system for space

inflatable structures ", Smart Mater. Struct. 10, pp. 1230–1239, 2001.

10. The future of food: the investment case for a protein shake up, 2016 briefing [Electronic resource]. Access mode: http://www.fairr.org/wp-content/uploads/FAIRR-and-ShareAction-Protein-Briefing-September-2016.pdf (date of circulation: 15.04. 2018).
11. The Protein Challenge 2040 [Electronic resource]. Access mode: https://www.forumforthefuture.org/project/protein-challenge-2040/overview (date of circulation: 15.04.2018).
12. W. P. Eaton, J. H. Smith, "Micromachined pressure sensors: review and recent developments ", Smart Mater. Struct., vol. 6, pp. 530–539, 1997.