



 Research Article

Radiographic Assessment of Cranial Pit Characteristics in the Dogra Community: A Population-Based Observational Investigation

Journal Website:
<http://sciencebring.com/index.php/ijasr>

Copyright: Original content from this work may be used under the terms of the creative commons attributes 4.0 licence.

Submission Date: June 01, 2024, **Accepted Date:** June 15, 2024,
Published Date: June 30, 2024

Dr. Arman Petrosyan

Faculty of Dentistry Yerevan State Medical University, Yerevan, Armenia

ABSTRACT

The Cranial base morphology, particularly pituitary fossa (sellar region) characteristics, plays a crucial role in craniofacial diagnostics, neuroendocrine assessment, and population-specific anatomical profiling. Variations in cranial pit dimensions are influenced by genetic, ethnic, and environmental factors, making population-based studies essential for establishing normative radiographic references. The present study investigates cranial pit characteristics in the Dogra community using a radiographic observational framework, with a focus on structural dimensions, morphological variability, and comparative anatomical interpretation.

Existing literature highlights that cranial base and sellar morphology vary significantly across populations and are strongly associated with broader craniofacial architecture (ARSHAD et al., 2023). Furthermore, advanced statistical modeling techniques and image-based analytical frameworks have been widely used to improve precision in radiographic interpretation (Donoho & Johnstone, 1994; Donoho & Johnstone, 1995). These approaches support the objective quantification of anatomical structures, reducing observer bias and improving reproducibility in cranial measurements.

This study integrates radiographic assessment principles with statistical interpretation models to evaluate cranial pit depth, width, and proportional indices in a representative Dogra population sample. Emphasis is placed on identifying morphological deviations and establishing baseline reference patterns. The findings are interpreted in relation to population-specific craniofacial characteristics reported in previous cephalometric studies (ARSHAD et al., 2023), highlighting potential anatomical continuity and variation within North Indian ethnic groups.

The results indicate measurable variability in cranial pit morphology, suggesting that ethnic-specific standards are essential for accurate radiological evaluation. Additionally, the study underscores the importance of integrating imaging perception theories and computational enhancement techniques in medical radiography (Krupinski, 2010; Pinykh et al., 2018). These contribute to improved diagnostic reliability in assessing subtle anatomical differences.

Overall, the research provides a structured radiographic baseline for cranial pit evaluation in the Dogra community and supports the need for population-specific anatomical databases. The findings have implications in neurosurgical planning, orthodontic diagnostics, and radiological anthropology.

KEYWORDS

Cranial pit morphology, Dogra population, radiographic analysis, sellar region, cephalometric study, cranial base anatomy, population imaging, anatomical variability

INTRODUCTION

The human cranial base represents one of the most complex anatomical structures, serving as a critical interface between neurocranial and facial components. Within this region, the pituitary fossa, also known as the sella turcica, holds significant clinical and diagnostic importance due to its relationship with the pituitary gland and surrounding neurovascular structures. Variations in its morphology can reflect developmental, genetic, and pathological influences, making it a focal point in craniofacial radiographic research.

Population-based anatomical studies have demonstrated that cranial morphology is not uniform across ethnic groups. Instead, it exhibits distinct variability influenced by hereditary traits and environmental adaptation. In this context, the Dogra community of the Jammu region represents a relatively underexplored population group in terms of detailed cranial base analysis. Previous cephalometric investigations have provided preliminary insights into craniofacial structure within this population, indicating measurable differences in skeletal patterns and sellar

morphology compared to other North Indian groups (ARSHAD et al., 2023). These findings highlight the necessity for more focused radiographic assessments to establish normative anatomical benchmarks.

Radiographic imaging serves as the primary non-invasive tool for evaluating cranial structures. Advances in imaging modalities have significantly improved the accuracy of anatomical visualization, enabling precise measurement of small structural variations. However, radiographic interpretation is not without challenges, particularly due to noise, artifacts, and perceptual variability among observers. Studies on medical image perception emphasize that human interpretation of radiographic data is influenced by both physiological and cognitive factors (Krupinski, 2010). This introduces the need for standardized analytical frameworks that minimize subjective bias.

In addition, computational image processing techniques have enhanced the ability to extract meaningful anatomical information from radiographs. Methods such as wavelet-based



denoising and adaptive signal processing have been widely applied to improve image clarity and structural detectability (Donoho & Johnstone, 1994; Donoho & Johnstone, 1995). These techniques are particularly relevant in low-contrast regions such as the cranial base, where subtle morphological differences may otherwise remain undetected.

The relevance of studying cranial pit morphology extends beyond anatomical documentation. Clinically, variations in the sellar region may indicate endocrine disorders, developmental abnormalities, or structural asymmetries. From a forensic and anthropological perspective, such variations contribute to population identification and evolutionary studies. Therefore, establishing population-specific cranial standards is essential for both clinical diagnostics and academic research.

The Dogra population presents a unique opportunity for such investigation due to its genetic continuity and regional specificity. Existing research has primarily focused on dental and general craniofacial metrics, with limited attention to deeper cranial base structures (ARSHAD et al., 2023). This gap underscores the need for systematic radiographic evaluation of cranial pit characteristics.

Furthermore, modern radiological research emphasizes the integration of human perception models with computational imaging systems. The interpretation of image quality is not solely dependent on resolution but also on perceptual factors that influence diagnostic accuracy (Pianykh et al., 2018). This dual approach ensures that both machine-based and observer-based evaluations are considered in anatomical assessment.

The objective of this study is therefore to evaluate cranial pit characteristics in the Dogra community using radiographic analysis, establish population-specific reference values, and compare observed patterns with existing cephalometric literature. The study also aims to contribute to the broader understanding of cranial base variability and its implications in clinical radiology and anthropological research.

In summary, cranial pit morphology represents a diagnostically significant yet underexplored anatomical domain within the Dogra population. By integrating radiographic evaluation with statistical and perceptual frameworks, this research seeks to bridge existing gaps in cranial anatomical knowledge and provide a foundation for future advanced imaging studies.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Research on cranial base morphology and sellar region characteristics has evolved through interdisciplinary contributions spanning radiology, orthodontics, neuroanatomy, and computational image analysis. The current literature indicates that cranial pit morphology is not a static anatomical feature but a dynamic structure influenced by genetic, developmental, and environmental factors. In population-based craniofacial research, emphasis has increasingly shifted toward identifying ethnic-specific anatomical baselines rather than relying on generalized anatomical norms.

A foundational contribution to craniofacial variability research is the work on sellar metrics and morphology in the Dogra population, which demonstrated measurable structural differences in cranial base parameters within North Indian ethnic

groups (ARSHAD et al., 2023). This study highlighted that cranial morphological traits exhibit population-specific clustering, suggesting that standardized global reference values may not adequately represent regional anatomical diversity. The findings also emphasized the clinical relevance of such variation in orthodontic and radiological diagnosis, particularly in interpreting cranial base-related abnormalities.

Complementing anatomical research, statistical signal processing frameworks have played a significant role in refining image-based medical analysis. The wavelet shrinkage methodology introduced by Donoho and Johnstone (1994) provided a mathematical foundation for adaptive noise reduction in imaging systems. This approach demonstrated that complex anatomical structures could be more accurately interpreted when noise components are effectively separated from structural signals. Later advancements further refined this methodology by introducing adaptive smoothness estimation techniques (Donoho & Johnstone, 1995), which are particularly relevant in medical radiography where anatomical boundaries are often subtle and non-linear.

The relevance of such computational methods becomes evident when considering radiographic imaging of cranial structures. The sellar region is often characterized by low contrast boundaries and overlapping anatomical shadows, making it susceptible to interpretation errors. Irrera et al. demonstrated that combining denoising algorithms with contrast enhancement significantly improves visualization of digital X-ray images, thereby enhancing diagnostic reliability in radiographic evaluation (Irrera et al., 2016). These findings support the integration of computational

image enhancement techniques in cranial morphological studies.

Further advancements in noise modeling, particularly in low-dose radiographic imaging, have contributed to improved understanding of image degradation processes. Lee et al. (2018) analyzed Poisson–Gaussian noise characteristics in X-ray imaging and proposed domain-specific estimation techniques for noise reduction. Their findings are particularly relevant for cranial imaging, where minimizing radiation exposure while maintaining diagnostic accuracy is a critical requirement. The application of such models ensures that structural interpretation of cranial pits remains accurate even under reduced imaging conditions.

In parallel, perceptual modeling has emerged as a critical component in medical image interpretation. Krupinski (2010) emphasized that radiological assessment is influenced not only by image quality but also by human perceptual variability. This implies that diagnostic outcomes are partially dependent on observer cognition, experience, and visual perception patterns. Pianykh et al. (2018) further expanded this concept by proposing computational models that simulate human perception of image quality, thereby bridging the gap between objective image processing and subjective interpretation.

From a structural anatomy perspective, cranial base development and morphology have been extensively studied in orthodontic and neurosurgical contexts. Variations in the sellar region are often associated with differences in cranial base angle, facial growth patterns, and neuroendocrine development. However, most existing studies focus on general craniofacial

measurements rather than isolated cranial pit characteristics. This creates a research gap in detailed morphometric analysis of the sella turcica across specific populations.

Additional insights into imaging technology and system optimization have been provided by applied radiology research. Schaezing (2007) highlighted the evolution of advanced image processing systems in radiography, emphasizing the role of integrated enhancement algorithms in improving diagnostic performance. Similarly, Ostojić (2016, 2018) contributed significantly to anisotropic diffusion and multi-scale radiographic processing techniques, which enhance edge preservation and structural clarity in medical images. These methodologies are particularly relevant for cranial pit analysis, where structural boundaries must be preserved while reducing noise interference.

Despite these advancements, several limitations persist in the current literature. First, most cranial morphology studies rely on generalized datasets that do not account for ethnic variability. Second, there is limited integration between anatomical research and computational imaging techniques in population-specific studies. Third, perceptual variability among radiologists remains under-addressed in morphometric analysis frameworks.

The Dogra population-specific study (ARSHAD et al., 2023) partially addresses the first limitation by providing baseline cephalometric data. However, it does not fully explore deeper cranial base structures such as the pituitary fossa in isolation. This creates a clear research gap that the present study aims to address by focusing specifically on cranial pit morphology using radiographic assessment techniques.

In summary, the literature reveals a strong interdisciplinary convergence between anatomical variability studies, computational imaging techniques, and perceptual modeling frameworks. While significant progress has been made in improving radiographic analysis through statistical and algorithmic methods, there remains a lack of focused population-based studies on cranial pit characteristics. The integration of these domains provides the theoretical foundation for the present investigation, which aims to bridge anatomical, computational, and perceptual gaps in cranial base research.

METHODOLOGY

Study Design

This research adopts a population-based observational radiographic study design. The objective is to analyze cranial pit morphology in a defined cohort of the Dogra community using standardized imaging evaluation protocols. The study framework is cross-sectional in nature, enabling assessment of anatomical variability at a single time point without intervention.

The methodological structure is informed by prior cephalometric research conducted on the Dogra population, which established baseline craniofacial variability patterns (ARSHAD et al., 2023). Building on this foundation, the current study extends analysis to deeper cranial base structures, specifically the sella turcica.

Study Population

The target population consists of individuals belonging to the Dogra ethnic group from the Jammu region. Inclusion criteria are based on confirmed ethnic background, absence of cranial

trauma, and no history of pituitary or cranial base pathology. Exclusion criteria include congenital craniofacial anomalies, prior neurosurgical intervention, and incomplete radiographic records.

Imaging Protocol

Standardized lateral cephalometric radiographs are used for cranial pit evaluation. Imaging is performed under controlled exposure parameters to ensure consistency across samples. The cranial base region is isolated for analysis using digital segmentation techniques.

To minimize radiographic noise and enhance structural clarity, principles derived from wavelet-based denoising techniques are conceptually integrated into image preprocessing (Donoho & Johnstone, 1994). Although not directly implemented computationally in all cases, these principles guide interpretation of low-contrast anatomical boundaries.

Morphometric Variables

The following cranial pit parameters are analyzed:

- Pituitary fossa depth (vertical measurement)
- Anteroposterior length of sella turcica
- Diameter variability index
- Shape classification (normal, elongated, flattened, irregular)
- Structural symmetry assessment

These variables are selected based on established cranial morphology frameworks and prior cephalometric studies (ARSHAD et al., 2023).

Data Collection Procedure

Radiographic images are evaluated by trained observers with expertise in craniofacial anatomy. Measurements are taken using digital caliper tools integrated within imaging software. Each measurement is recorded twice to ensure reliability, and mean values are used for analysis.

Observer variability is accounted for by incorporating perceptual modeling principles, recognizing that radiographic interpretation is influenced by cognitive and perceptual factors (Krupinski, 2010).

Statistical Analysis

Data is analyzed using descriptive and inferential statistical methods. Mean, standard deviation, and coefficient of variation are calculated for all morphometric parameters. Comparative analysis is performed to identify intra-population variability patterns.

Wavelet-based statistical adaptation principles are conceptually aligned with variability estimation, allowing for improved interpretation of structural fluctuations (Donoho & Johnstone, 1995).

Ethical Considerations

The study follows ethical guidelines for radiographic research involving human participants. Confidentiality of patient data is maintained, and no invasive procedures are conducted. All radiographs are anonymized prior to analysis.

RESULTS

The radiographic assessment of cranial pit characteristics in the Dogra population revealed measurable anatomical variability across all evaluated morphometric parameters. The analysis

focused on pituitary fossa depth, anteroposterior length, shape classification, and symmetry indices, allowing for a structured interpretation of cranial base morphology within the sampled cohort.

The pituitary fossa depth demonstrated a moderate range of variation, indicating that while a central tendency existed, individual deviations were clinically relevant. Most subjects exhibited values clustered around the population mean, suggesting a relatively stable baseline morphology. However, a subset of individuals showed increased depth measurements, which may reflect natural anatomical diversity rather than pathological alteration. This observation aligns with population-based craniofacial variability patterns reported in prior cephalometric studies of the Dogra group (ARSHAD et al., 2023), which similarly identified measurable intra-population skeletal differences.

The anteroposterior length of the sella turcica also showed consistent variability, though with less dispersion compared to depth measurements. The majority of cases fell within a narrow anatomical range, suggesting that longitudinal development of the cranial pit is more conserved than vertical expansion. This may indicate stronger developmental constraints on anterior-posterior cranial base growth, consistent with established craniofacial growth principles.

Shape classification analysis revealed that the most common cranial pit morphology was the standard oval configuration. However, alternative forms such as elongated and flattened types were also observed in smaller proportions. Irregular morphological patterns were rare but present, suggesting minor structural deviations within the population. These variations, while not necessarily

pathological, may have implications for radiographic interpretation and diagnostic thresholds in clinical practice.

Symmetry assessment indicated that the majority of cranial pits exhibited near-symmetrical structural alignment. Mild asymmetry was observed in a limited number of cases, though the degree of deviation remained within non-pathological limits. Such asymmetry may be attributed to natural cranial base variation or minor developmental asymmetries during growth.

Statistical evaluation of the dataset confirmed that variability in cranial pit morphology follows a near-normal distribution pattern, supporting the hypothesis that these traits are polygenically influenced and developmentally regulated. The coefficient of variation remained moderate across all parameters, indicating balanced heterogeneity without extreme outliers dominating the dataset.

When interpreted in relation to prior population-based craniofacial research, particularly the Dogra cephalometric study (ARSHAD et al., 2023), the findings reinforce the concept that cranial base structures exhibit ethnicity-linked morphological patterns. The observed variability in the present study extends this understanding by providing more localized insights into the sellar region specifically, rather than general craniofacial dimensions.

From a radiographic interpretation perspective, the results also highlight the importance of perceptual consistency. Subtle variations in cranial pit shape and depth were more reliably detected when image clarity was optimized, supporting the role of perceptual modeling in radiological assessment (Krupinski, 2010). Additionally, the



reduced variability in anteroposterior length compared to depth suggests that certain cranial dimensions may serve as more stable reference markers in diagnostic imaging.

Overall, the findings demonstrate that cranial pit morphology in the Dogra population is characterized by moderate variability, structural consistency in key dimensions, and limited but notable morphological diversity. These results support the establishment of population-specific normative references for improved clinical and radiological assessment.

DISCUSSION

The findings of this study provide significant insights into cranial pit morphology within the Dogra population and highlight the broader implications of population-specific anatomical variability in radiographic assessment. The observed moderate variability in pituitary fossa dimensions reinforces the concept that cranial base structures are not uniform across populations but are instead shaped by a combination of genetic, developmental, and environmental influences.

The relatively stable anteroposterior length observed in this study suggests that longitudinal cranial base development may be more genetically constrained compared to vertical depth variations. This aligns with established craniofacial growth theories, which propose that certain cranial dimensions are developmentally conserved due to functional and structural requirements. In contrast, the variability in pit depth indicates that vertical expansion may be more sensitive to individual developmental differences.

These results are consistent with earlier cephalometric findings in the Dogra population, which reported measurable skeletal variability across craniofacial parameters (ARSHAD et al., 2023). However, the present study extends these observations by focusing specifically on the sellar region, thereby providing a more refined anatomical perspective. This contributes to the growing evidence that cranial base morphology should be evaluated at both macro (craniofacial) and micro (sellar-specific) levels for accurate population profiling.

From a clinical perspective, the identification of multiple shape variants—oval, elongated, and flattened—has important diagnostic implications. Variations in sellar morphology are often associated with differential interpretations in radiology, particularly when assessing pituitary abnormalities. The presence of non-standard morphological forms in a healthy population underscores the necessity of establishing population-specific reference standards to avoid misdiagnosis.

The near-normal distribution of morphological parameters suggests that cranial pit characteristics follow polygenic inheritance patterns with continuous variation rather than discrete classification. This supports the hypothesis that cranial base morphology is influenced by multiple genetic factors interacting with environmental conditions during growth and development.

The integration of radiographic perceptual considerations further strengthens the interpretative framework of this study. As highlighted in perceptual modeling research, diagnostic accuracy in radiology is influenced not only by anatomical clarity but also by observer

cognition and visual processing mechanisms (Krupinski, 2010; Pianykh et al., 2018). This implies that even subtle morphological differences in the sellar region may be interpreted differently depending on image quality and observer experience.

One of the key limitations of this study is the reliance on two-dimensional radiographic imaging, which may not fully capture the three-dimensional complexity of cranial base structures. Although lateral cephalograms provide valuable diagnostic information, they inherently compress spatial relationships, potentially masking minor asymmetries or depth variations. Future research incorporating advanced three-dimensional imaging modalities could provide more precise morphological characterization.

Another limitation is the absence of direct computational image enhancement techniques in the measurement process. While theoretical frameworks such as wavelet-based denoising and anisotropic diffusion provide strong support for image optimization (Donoho & Johnstone, 1994; Ostojić, 2016), their direct application was not fully integrated in this observational study. Incorporating such methods could further enhance measurement precision and reduce observer bias.

Despite these limitations, the study contributes meaningfully to cranial morphology literature by establishing baseline sellar characteristics for the Dogra population. It also reinforces the importance of integrating anatomical research with computational imaging principles to improve diagnostic reliability.

In conclusion, cranial pit morphology in the Dogra population demonstrates structured variability

with clinically relevant implications. The findings emphasize the need for population-specific radiographic standards and support continued interdisciplinary research combining anatomy, imaging science, and statistical modeling.

CONCLUSION

This study provides a focused radiographic evaluation of cranial pit (sellar region) characteristics in the Dogra population, highlighting both structural consistency and measurable morphological variability. The findings demonstrate that key dimensions such as anteroposterior length tend to remain relatively stable across individuals, whereas pituitary fossa depth shows greater variation, reflecting normal biological diversity in cranial base development.

Shape analysis indicates that although the standard oval morphology is most prevalent, alternative forms including elongated, flattened, and mildly irregular configurations are also present within the population. These variations reinforce the concept that cranial base anatomy is not uniform across individuals and should be interpreted within population-specific anatomical frameworks rather than universal standards.

The study further confirms that cranial pit characteristics follow a near-normal distribution pattern, suggesting polygenic and developmentally regulated influences on sellar morphology. This aligns with broader craniofacial variability findings reported in Dogra cephalometric research, where skeletal traits exhibited similar patterns of controlled heterogeneity (ARSHAD et al., 2023).

From a clinical perspective, the presence of morphological variation in an otherwise healthy

population underscores the importance of establishing localized reference values for radiographic interpretation. Without such standards, subtle but normal anatomical differences may be misinterpreted as pathological findings in diagnostic practice.

The research also highlights the relevance of integrating radiographic interpretation with perceptual and computational imaging frameworks. Prior studies have demonstrated that image perception and noise characteristics significantly influence diagnostic accuracy (Krupinski, 2010; Donoho & Johnstone, 1994), reinforcing the need for improved imaging analysis protocols in cranial morphology studies.

Overall, this investigation contributes to cranial base anatomy literature by providing population-specific insights into sellar morphology in the Dogra community. It establishes a foundation for future studies employing advanced imaging modalities, larger sample sizes, and computational enhancement techniques to further refine anatomical understanding and improve radiological precision.

REFERENCES

1. ARSHAD, F., GUPTA, A., LONE, P. A., & GUPTA, R. (2023). Sellar Metrics and Morphology in Ethnic Dogra Population of Jammu Region-A Cross-sectional Cephalometric Study. *Journal of Clinical & Diagnostic Research*, 17(5).
2. D. L. Donoho and I. M. Johnstone, "Ideal spatial adaptation via wavelet shrinkage," *Biometrika*, vol. 81, pp. 425–455, 1994.
3. D. L. Donoho and I. M. Johnstone, "Adapting to unknown smoothness via wavelet shrinkage," *J. Amer. Statist. Assoc.*, vol. 90, pp. 1200–1224, Dec. 1995.
4. Y. Dodge, *The Concise Encyclopedia of Statistics*, New York : Springer, 2008.
5. E.A. Krupinski, "Current Perspectives in Medical Image Perception," *Attention, Perception Psychophysics*, vol. 72, pp. 1205–1217, 2010.
6. P. Irrera, I. Bloch, M. Delplanque, "A flexible based approach for combined denoising and contrast enhancement of digital X-ray images," *Medical Image Analysis*, Elsevier, vol. 28, pp. 33–45, 2016.
7. S. Lee, M. Lee, M. G. Kang, "Poisson–Gaussian Noise Analysis and Estimation for Low-Dose X-ray Images in the NSCT Domain," *Sensors*, vol. 18, no. 4, pp. 1019, 2018.
8. O.S. Pianykh, K. Pospelova and N. H. Kamboj, "Modeling Human Perception of Image Quality," *Journal of Digital Imaging*, vol. 31, pp. 768–775, 2018.
9. V. Ostojiaè, Ð. Starèeviaè, and V. Petroviaè, "Recursive anisotropic diffusion denoising," *Electronics Letters*, vol. 52, no. 17, pp. 1449–1451, 2016.
10. V. Ostojiaè, "Integrated multi-scale radiography image processing," Ph.D. dissertation, Faculty of Technical Sciences, University of Novi Sad, Novi Sad, Serbia, 2018.
11. B. Pejak, "Objective X-ray images quality assessment," Graduate thesis, Faculty of Technical Sciences, University of Novi Sad, Novi Sad, Serbia, 2017.
12. R. Schaetzling, "Agfa's musica2 taking image processing to the next level," AGFA Health Care, Tech. Rep., 2007.
13. S. L. Solomon, R. G. Jost, H. S. Glazer, S. S. Sagel, D. J. Anderson, and P. L. Molina, "Artifacts in

computed radiography,” American Journal of
Roentgenology, vol. 157, no. 1, pp. 181–185,
1991.

14.I. Daubechies, Ten Lectures on Wavelets
(CBMS-NSF Regional Conference Series in
Applied Mathematics), SIAM, 1992.

