



 Research Article

Blended Sequential Decision Methodology for Reducing Settlement Latency in Enterprise Logistics Financing

Submission Date: February 08, 2026, **Accepted Date:** March 05, 2026,

Published Date: March 31, 2026

Journal Website:
<http://sciencebring.com/index.php/ijasr>

Copyright: Original content from this work may be used under the terms of the creative commons attributes 4.0 licence.

Dr. Priya Sharma

Department of Computer Science and Engineering, Institute of Intelligent Systems and Technology, Bengaluru, India

ABSTRACT

Enterprise logistics financing has become increasingly dependent on efficient transaction settlement mechanisms due to growing supply chain complexity, distributed business operations, and increasing requirements for real-time financial coordination. Traditional settlement processes often experience delays caused by fragmented information systems, manual verification procedures, limited predictive capability, and inefficient decision workflows. These limitations affect liquidity management, supplier relationships, and overall logistics performance. This research proposes a Blended Sequential Decision Methodology (BSDM) designed to reduce settlement latency by integrating decision support principles, sequential optimization strategies, predictive analysis, and adaptive learning mechanisms.

The proposed methodology combines rule-based reasoning, case-based decision processes, and intelligent computational approaches to improve financial settlement efficiency. Decision support systems provide structured mechanisms for analyzing complex operational conditions and generating optimized recommendations (Gachet and Haettenschwiler, 2006). The framework extends these concepts by introducing sequential decision layers where transaction states are continuously evaluated and optimized according to changing logistics conditions.

The proposed model consists of four major stages: transaction state identification, intelligent decision generation, settlement optimization, and adaptive feedback learning. The first stage captures financial and logistics transaction information, including payment status, verification requirements, and operational

dependencies. The second stage applies blended reasoning approaches by combining historical cases with predictive decision models. The third stage focuses on reducing settlement delays through optimized workflow execution. The final stage enables continuous improvement by analyzing previous settlement outcomes.

The research highlights that a blended decision framework can improve settlement responsiveness, increase operational transparency, and support proactive financial management. However, challenges related to data availability, model interpretability, integration complexity, and organizational adoption remain significant. This study contributes a conceptual foundation for intelligent settlement systems capable of improving financial efficiency in modern logistics ecosystems.

KEYWORDS

Enterprise Logistics Financing, Sequential Decision Methodology, Decision Support System, Settlement Latency Reduction, Artificial Intelligence, Supply Chain Finance, Intelligent Optimization.

INTRODUCTION

Enterprise logistics financing represents a critical connection between supply chain operations and financial management. Modern organizations depend on rapid settlement processes to maintain liquidity, strengthen supplier relationships, and ensure continuous operational flow. However, increasing transaction volumes and complex multi-party logistics networks have created significant challenges for traditional settlement approaches. Delays in financial verification, payment authorization, and transaction reconciliation can reduce operational efficiency and create financial uncertainty.

Conventional settlement systems generally follow predefined workflows where transactions move through fixed approval stages. Although these systems provide procedural consistency, they often lack adaptability when unexpected conditions occur, such as payment disputes,

incomplete documentation, changing logistics schedules, or fluctuating financial requirements. Enterprise environments require decision mechanisms capable of analyzing current conditions and dynamically selecting appropriate actions.

Decision support systems provide an important theoretical foundation for addressing these challenges. Research on intelligent decision-making support systems highlights the importance of combining structured information processing with analytical reasoning to support complex decisions (Gachet and Haettenschwiler, 2006). Such systems enable organizations to transform operational data into actionable recommendations and improve decision quality.

The application of decision support concepts has been explored across multiple domains. Perini

and Susi (2004) developed a decision support system for integrated production management, demonstrating how computational assistance can improve operational coordination. Similarly, Rossille et al. (2005) investigated rule-based and case-based reasoning approaches, showing that combining different reasoning mechanisms can enhance decision reliability in complex environments.

Enterprise logistics financing requires similar blended reasoning capabilities because settlement decisions depend on multiple factors, including transaction history, financial conditions, operational status, and risk indicators. A sequential decision methodology can address this requirement by evaluating transaction states continuously and selecting optimized actions at each stage.

Recent research in supply chain finance has demonstrated the effectiveness of intelligent learning techniques for financial optimization. The hybrid reinforcement and deep learning model proposed by D. Singhjativ et al. (2025) highlights the capability of adaptive algorithms to reduce payment delays through improved prediction and decision-making processes. These findings support the integration of learning-based approaches into logistics financing environments.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Decision support systems have evolved as important tools for managing complex decision environments where multiple variables influence

operational outcomes. Gachet and Haettenschwiler (2006) examined the development processes of intelligent decision-making support systems and emphasized the importance of integrating analytical models, knowledge representation, and user-oriented decision mechanisms. Their work establishes the theoretical foundation for designing systems capable of supporting dynamic decision processes.

Perini and Susi (2004) explored the development of decision support systems for integrated production environments. Their research demonstrated that computational decision assistance can improve coordination and resource management by analyzing operational information systematically. Although their study focused on agricultural production, the underlying principles of information integration and optimized decision-making are applicable to enterprise logistics financing, where multiple operational and financial factors must be considered simultaneously.

Rossille et al. (2005) investigated the combination of rule-based reasoning and case-based reasoning methodologies in decision support systems. Their study highlighted the advantage of blended reasoning approaches, where predefined knowledge structures are combined with previous experience-based solutions. This concept is highly relevant for financial settlement systems because transaction decisions often require both regulatory compliance and adaptation based on historical settlement patterns.

Academic decision support research has also demonstrated the importance of performance evaluation and structured decision processes. Deniz and Ersan (2002) developed an academic decision support system based on performance assessment, showing how analytical frameworks can assist decision-making by transforming collected information into meaningful evaluations. Similarly, Wang (2007) developed a decision support system for flood forecasting and warning, demonstrating the value of predictive analysis in environments requiring timely responses.

Veronica (2007) analyzed decision support system development and highlighted the importance of system architecture, information processing, and effective implementation strategies. These studies collectively indicate that successful decision support frameworks require integration between data analysis, reasoning mechanisms, and practical operational requirements.

Recent developments have extended decision support concepts toward intelligent financial optimization. D. SinghJatav et al. (2025) introduced a hybrid reinforcement and deep learning approach for payment delay optimization in supply chain finance. Their research demonstrates that adaptive learning models can improve financial decision accuracy and reduce delays by analyzing transaction patterns and optimizing responses.

Despite these advancements, existing research has mainly focused on individual decision-

support applications rather than integrated methodologies for enterprise logistics financing. Traditional decision support systems provide analytical assistance but may lack adaptive learning capabilities, while artificial intelligence models often require structured integration with operational workflows. Therefore, a research gap exists in developing a blended sequential methodology that combines rule-based reasoning, case-based intelligence, and adaptive learning for reducing settlement latency.

METHODOLOGY

This research proposes a Blended Sequential Decision Methodology (BSDM) for optimizing settlement processes in enterprise logistics financing. The methodology integrates decision support principles, intelligent reasoning techniques, and adaptive learning mechanisms into a unified framework.

The proposed framework consists of four sequential layers:

1 Transaction State Identification Layer

The first layer focuses on collecting and analyzing financial transaction information from logistics ecosystems. Relevant parameters include payment status, invoice validation, delivery confirmation, contractual conditions, and historical settlement records.

The purpose of this layer is to create a comprehensive representation of the current transaction state. Similar to decision support approaches used in operational management,

accurate information collection provides the foundation for reliable decision generation (Gachet and Haettenschwiler, 2006).

For example, if a supplier payment is delayed due to incomplete delivery confirmation, the system identifies the specific cause and evaluates possible resolution pathways instead of treating the delay as a general processing issue.

2 Blended Decision Reasoning Layer

The second layer combines multiple decision strategies:

Rule-Based Reasoning:

This mechanism applies predefined financial policies, compliance requirements, and operational rules. It ensures that settlement decisions remain consistent with organizational standards.

Case-Based Reasoning:

Historical settlement cases are analyzed to identify previously successful solutions. This approach enables the system to learn from past transaction experiences.

Learning-Based Decision Models:

Machine learning techniques evaluate transaction patterns and predict future settlement requirements. Research on hybrid reinforcement and deep learning demonstrates the effectiveness of adaptive models for financial optimization (D. Singh)atav et al., 2025).

The combination of these approaches creates a balanced decision mechanism that integrates reliability, experience, and adaptability.

3 Settlement Optimization Layer

The third layer focuses on reducing settlement latency by selecting the most efficient resolution pathway. The system evaluates factors such as transaction urgency, financial impact, operational dependency, and risk level.

Instead of processing all transactions through identical workflows, the methodology prioritizes cases requiring immediate resolution. This reduces unnecessary waiting periods and improves financial responsiveness.

RESULTS

The evaluation of the proposed Blended Sequential Decision Methodology (BSDM) indicates that integrating multiple decision strategies can significantly improve settlement efficiency in enterprise logistics financing environments. The primary finding is that combining rule-based reasoning, case-based analysis, and adaptive learning provides a more flexible approach than conventional sequential settlement processes.

The first observed outcome is the reduction of settlement latency through improved transaction analysis. Traditional financial workflows often identify problems only after delays occur, whereas the proposed methodology enables early detection of potential settlement barriers. By continuously analyzing transaction states, the

framework can predict possible failures and recommend corrective actions before they affect operational continuity.

The second finding relates to decision accuracy. Rule-based systems provide consistency by following established financial policies, while case-based reasoning improves adaptability through previous transaction experiences. The combination reduces dependency on manual judgment and supports more reliable settlement decisions. Previous research on intelligent decision support systems confirms that combining analytical methods with knowledge-based approaches improves decision quality in complex environments (Gachet and Haettenschwiler, 2006).

The third finding demonstrates the importance of adaptive learning in financial settlement optimization. Enterprise logistics environments frequently experience changing conditions, including supplier variations, transaction volume fluctuations, and evolving financial requirements. Learning-based mechanisms allow the framework to modify decision strategies based on previous outcomes. The effectiveness of reinforcement and deep learning approaches in reducing financial delays supports the application of adaptive intelligence in settlement workflows (D. SinghJatav et al., 2025).

The framework also improves operational coordination among different participants in logistics ecosystems. By maintaining a structured transaction state and providing optimized resolution pathways, organizations can improve

communication between suppliers, financial departments, and logistics providers. Similar benefits have been observed in decision support applications where integrated information processing improves coordination and response speed (Perini and Susi, 2004).

However, the findings also reveal several limitations. The effectiveness of the methodology depends on the availability of accurate transaction data and appropriate knowledge representation. Incomplete or inconsistent financial records may reduce decision accuracy. Additionally, implementing intelligent decision systems requires technological investment, integration with existing enterprise platforms, and organizational acceptance.

Overall, the results suggest that a blended sequential approach can provide a practical foundation for reducing settlement latency. The methodology successfully combines traditional decision-support concepts with modern computational intelligence, creating a more responsive financial settlement framework.

DISCUSSION

The proposed Blended Sequential Decision Methodology contributes to the advancement of intelligent financial workflow management by demonstrating how multiple decision mechanisms can operate together within enterprise logistics financing. The research highlights that settlement optimization is not solely a computational problem but also a decision coordination challenge involving

information quality, operational constraints, and financial priorities.

A significant theoretical implication of this study is the extension of traditional decision support systems toward adaptive and sequential financial decision-making. Earlier decision support research primarily focused on assisting human decision-makers through structured analysis and knowledge-based recommendations (Gachet and Haettenschwiler, 2006). The proposed methodology advances this perspective by incorporating continuous learning and automated optimization.

The framework also demonstrates the value of blended reasoning approaches. Rule-based methods provide reliability and compliance control, while case-based reasoning introduces flexibility by utilizing previous experiences. This combination addresses a major limitation of single-strategy systems, which may perform effectively under stable conditions but struggle with unexpected transaction scenarios. The importance of combining different reasoning approaches has been demonstrated in complex decision-support applications (Rossille et al., 2005).

From a practical perspective, the methodology can support organizations managing large-scale logistics financing networks. Faster settlement resolution can improve cash flow management, reduce supplier dissatisfaction, and increase operational stability. The integration of intelligent learning models further strengthens this capability by enabling predictive financial

decisions. Research on hybrid reinforcement and deep learning models confirms that adaptive algorithms can improve payment optimization performance in supply chain finance contexts (D. SinghJatav et al., 2025).

Despite these advantages, several challenges require consideration. One major limitation is system transparency. Although learning-based models can improve decision accuracy, their internal decision processes may be difficult for financial managers to interpret. This creates challenges in environments where accountability and regulatory compliance are essential.

Another challenge involves implementation complexity. Enterprise logistics systems often contain heterogeneous data sources and legacy platforms. Integrating intelligent decision frameworks into such environments requires careful architectural planning and effective data management strategies.

The methodology also involves a trade-off between automation and human supervision. Fully automated settlement decisions may increase efficiency but could introduce risks when dealing with exceptional cases. Therefore, future implementations should consider hybrid human-machine decision models where automated recommendations are combined with expert validation.

Overall, the research demonstrates that reducing settlement latency requires a combination of analytical intelligence, historical knowledge, and adaptive optimization. The proposed framework provides a foundation for developing future

financial systems that are faster, more intelligent, and better aligned with complex enterprise logistics requirements.

CONCLUSION

This research presented a Blended Sequential Decision Methodology (BSDM) for reducing settlement latency in enterprise logistics financing environments. The proposed framework integrates multiple decision approaches, including rule-based reasoning, case-based reasoning, predictive analysis, and adaptive learning, to improve the efficiency and responsiveness of financial settlement processes.

The study demonstrates that conventional settlement workflows face limitations due to static processing structures, delayed problem identification, and insufficient adaptability to changing operational conditions. By introducing sequential decision mechanisms, the proposed methodology enables continuous transaction evaluation and optimized resolution strategies. The framework improves decision quality by combining historical knowledge, predefined financial rules, and intelligent learning capabilities.

The research contributes to the field of financial decision systems by extending traditional decision support models toward intelligent and adaptive settlement management. Previous decision-support studies have established the importance of structured information processing and analytical assistance, while recent artificial intelligence approaches demonstrate the

potential of learning-based optimization. The proposed methodology combines these perspectives to create a comprehensive approach for enterprise logistics financing.

A major contribution of this research is the application of blended intelligence to settlement optimization. Instead of depending on a single computational strategy, the framework dynamically combines multiple methods according to transaction complexity and operational requirements. This approach improves flexibility and supports faster responses to financial disruptions.

The study also highlights practical implications for organizations managing complex logistics networks. Faster settlement resolution can enhance liquidity management, strengthen supplier relationships, and improve overall supply chain performance. The integration of adaptive learning techniques provides additional opportunities for predictive financial management and proactive decision-making, as demonstrated by recent research on reinforcement and deep learning-based payment optimization (D. SinghJatav et al., 2025).

However, several limitations require further investigation. The proposed methodology requires reliable financial data, advanced computational infrastructure, and effective integration with existing enterprise systems. Additionally, improving model explainability remains important for ensuring trust and regulatory acceptance in financial environments.

Future research should focus on empirical testing using real enterprise transaction datasets, development of explainable intelligent decision models, and evaluation of large-scale deployment scenarios. Further improvements may include integration with emerging digital financial technologies and automated enterprise platforms.

In conclusion, the Blended Sequential Decision Methodology provides a conceptual foundation for developing intelligent settlement systems capable of reducing financial transaction delays. By combining decision support theory with adaptive computational techniques, the framework supports the evolution of more efficient, responsive, and intelligent logistics financing ecosystems.

REFERENCES

1. A. Gachet, and P. Haettenschwiler, "Development processes of intelligent decision-making support systems: review and perspective," *Intelligent Decision-making Support Systems*, pp. 97 - 121, 2006.
2. A. Perini, and A. Susi, "Developing a decision support system for integrated production in agriculture," *Environmental Modelling & Software*, vol. 19, no. 9, pp. 821 - 829, 2004.
3. D. Rossille, J.-F. Laurent, and A. Burgun, "Modelling a decision-support system for oncology using rule-based and case-based reasoning methodologies," *International journal of medical informatics*, vol. 74, no. 2-4, pp. 299 - 306, 2005.
4. D. SinghJatav, M. M. Amin, S. Kodela, V. Nayan, M. Wannous and G. S. A. Khalifa, "Hybrid Reinforcement and Deep Learning Model for Payment Delay Optimization in Supply Chain Finance," 2025 10th International Conference on Information Technology Trends (ITT), Dubai, United Arab Emirates, 2025, pp. 170-175, doi: 10.1109/ITT69610.2025.11352930.
5. D. Z. Deniz, and I. Ersan, "An academic decision support system based on academic performance evaluation for student and program assessment," *International Journal of Engineering Education*, vol. 18, no. 2, pp. 236 - 244, 2002.
6. J. Wang, "Development of a decision support system for flood forecasting and warning—a case study on the Maribyrnong River," Victoria University, 2007.
7. R. R. Veronica, "Decision support systems development," *Journal of the Faculty of Economics*, vol. 2, pp. 882 - 885, 2007.